

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,228	03/31/2004	Julio Cesar Chaves	3084.028	7326
26375 SINSHEIMER	7590 06/12/2007	EXAMINER		
SINSHEIMER JUHNKE LEBENS & MCIVOR, LLP 1010 PEACH STREET P.O. BOX 31 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93406			SEMBER, THOMAS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5.11. 2015 OB			2885	
			<del></del>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

To: USPTO

P.14/15

;		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/816,228	CHAVES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thomas M. Sember	2885			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 138(a) In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiling date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication had been precised by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filed, may reduce any earned patent form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ap	nil 2007	·			
2a)☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[	— The street is it obtained except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 8-25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acce		by the Examiner			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d)						
11) ☐. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Roview (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/11/06 &amp; 04/04/07</u> .	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informat Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2885

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the equiangular spiral displaced laterally from an axis of said solid of revolution per Claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2885

Page 3

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated POPOVICH ET AL. (US 6,582,103). POPOVICH ET AL. (US 6,582,103) discloses an optical device for distributing the radiant emission of a light emitter comprising: • A lower transfer section (494, Fig. 12); •An upper ejector section (492, fig 12) situated upon the lower transfer section and being shaped such that the emission is redistributed externally into a substantially solid angle •Wherein said transfer section is operable for placement upon the light emitter 430 • Wherein said ejector section comprises a lower and a connecting upper portion (Fig 12 illustrate a lower portion adjacent LED (430) and a connected upper portion adjacent side face.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the pnor art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-16 and 18-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over POPOVICH ET AL. (US 6,582,103). POPOVICH ET AL. (US 6,582,103) discloses

Art Unit: 2885

Page 4

the claimed invention including a light ejector (as provided above), but does not disclose an ejector of a plurality of different random shapes, i.e. a biconical surface per Claim 9, convex and concave toroidal lenslets per Claim 10, a flange-like protruding profile per Claim 11, an upper equiangular spiral per Claims 12, 13 and 18, a portion of a sphere per Claim 14, an inverted cone per Claim 15, a sphere with toroidal lenslets per Claim 16, a radial array of right-angled v-grooves per Claims 19-22, a cylinder per Claim 23, a polygonal per Claim 24 or a faceted transfer section per Claim 25. However, more than a mere change of form is necessary for patentability with such a change resulting in more than useful natural phenomenon that man has accumulated through common knowledge. Span-Deck Inc. v. Fab-Con, Inc. 215 USPQ 835. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to manipulate the shape of the transfer section of POPOVICH, since Applicant has not disclosed that the reshaping solves any stated problems or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the shape of the POPOVICH transfer section. Motivation for such includes the incentive of obtaining optimal light distribution for a user's desired application. Notably, discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2885

Page 5

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 8 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (Hoffman '330 or Parkyn, Jr '788). (Hoffman '330 or Parkyn Jr. '788) discloses a lower transfer section; and an upper ejector section situated upon the lower transfer section, said lower transfer section operable for placement upon the light emitter and operable to transfer the radiant emission to said upper ejector section, said upper ejector section shaped such that the emission is redistributed externally into a substantial solid angle and wherein said ejector section comprises a lower and a connecting upper portion.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 a.m.- 5.30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2885

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2885